Remarks for the

"Response to Final Office Action dated 12/21/2006"

[0002] Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance

of all of the claims of the application. Claims 1-15 are presently pending.

Claims 1, 5-8, 11, and 12 are amended herein; no claims are withdrawn or

cancelled herein; and no new claims are added herein.

Summary of Interview

[0003] Examiner Do graciously talked with me—the undersigned

agent for the Applicant—on Feb 20, 2007. Applicant greatly appreciates

the Examiners' willingness to talk. Such willingness is invaluable to both of

us in our common goal of an expedited prosecution of this patent

application.

Γ00041 Differences between the application and the cited references.

and possible claim amendments were discussed. In particular, the Examiner

suggested amending the claims to clearly indicate that the hash value being

generated represents the entire image.

Formal Request for an Interview

If the Office's reply to this communication is anything other [0005]

than allowance of all pending claims, then Applicant formally requests an

interview with the Examiner of this patent application. I encourage the

Examiner to contact me—the undersigned agent for the Applicant—to

Serial No.: 10/628.751

Atty Docket No.: MS1-0422USC1

Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 12/21/2006

IEE Shayes The Business of IP™

www.leehaves.com 509.324.9256

schedule a date and time for a telephone interview that is most convenient for both of us. You will find contact information for me and for my

assistant on the last page of this response.

Claim Amendments

[0006] Applicant amends claims in accordance with the above-

referenced telephone interview with the Examiner.. Such amendments are

made to expedite prosecution and quickly identify allowable subject matter.

Substantive Claim Rejections

Claim Rejections under § 101

[0007] Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S. C. 101 as being directed

to non-statutory subject matter.

[0008] On the bottom of page 4 of the Office Action, the Examiner

suggests a direct recitation of a practical application in the technological

arts. Independent claims 1, 5, 6, and 7 have each been amended to

include such a direct recitation of a practical application. Accordingly,

Applicant respectfully requests that the 101 rejection of claims 1-7 be

withdrawn.

Serial No.: 10/628,751 Atty Docket No.: MS1-0422USC1

Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 12/21/2006

lee@hayes

The Business of IP™

[0009] Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S. C. 101 as being

directed to non-statutory subject matter.

[0010] Independent claim 12 has been amended to include a direct

recitation of a practical application in the technological arts. Accordingly,

Applicant respectfully requests that the 101 rejection of claims 12-15 be

withdrawn.

Claim Rejections under § 102

[0011] Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 15 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,689,639 issued to

Schwarz (herein referred to as "Schwarz").

[0012] Independent claims 1, 6, 8, and 12 are amended herein, as

shown above, rendering the 102 rejection of claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12,

and 15 moot. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the 102

rejection of claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 15 be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections under § 103

[0013] Claims 5, 7, 9, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

as being unpatentable over Schwarz in view of "RIME: A Prelicated Image

Detector for the World-Wide Web" by Chang et al (herein referred to as

"Chang").

[0014] Independent claims 5, 7, and 11 are amended herein, as

shown above, rendering the 103 rejection of claims 5, 7, and 11 moot.

-10-

Serial No.: 10/628,751

Atty Docket No.: MS1-0422USC1

Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 12/21/2006

lee@hayes

The Business of IP 14

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the 103 rejection of claims

5, 7, and 11 be withdrawn.

[0015] Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Schwarz in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,465,353 issued to

Hull et al (herein referred to as "Hull").

[0016] Hull is not seen to add to the teaching of Schwarz with

respect to claims 1 and 12. Accordingly, claims 3 and 13 are allowable at

least by virtue of their respective dependence on claims 1 and 12.

Applicant respectfully requests that the 103 rejection of claims 3 and 13 be

withdrawn.

Dependent Claims

[0017] In addition to its own merits, each dependent claim is

allowable for the same reasons that its base claim is allowable. Applicant

respectfully requests that the Office withdraw the rejection of each

dependent claim where its base claim is allowable.

Serial No.: 10/628,751

Atty Docket No.: MS1-0422USC1

Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 12/21/2006

lee@hayes

The Business of IP™

Conclusion

[0018] All pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the application. If any issues remain that prevent issuance of this application, the Office is urged to contact the undersigned agent before issuing a subsequent Action.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: <

Kayla D. Brant Reg. No. 46576 (509) 324-9256 x242 kayla@leehayes.com www.leehayes.com

Assistant: Carly Taylor (509) 324-9256 x 264 carly@leehayes.com